

Attorney Docket: WDUMR-022US  
Application No.: 09/883,123  
Title: PARSING SYSTEM

### REMARKS

The Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the prior Office Action because The Office Action addresses deleted Claim 1 and does not address each feature of the only remaining claim, Claim 45.

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for the courteous phone interview on July 23. It was suggested that a request for reconsideration should be filed to address the Applicant's issues.

The prior Office Action refers to deleted Claim 1 instead of Claim 45, and cites several terms that do not appear in Claim 45, but that appear in deleted Claim 1. Those terms are "one of" (discussed twice in the rejection), "selected from," "consulting," and "knowledge base analyzing." The response to arguments addresses issues not raised in the last Office Action.

The Office Action also does not address each feature of Claim 45 and explain how the claim features are found in Fujisawa. For example, analyzing name and address data at an orthographic level, at a semantic level and at a contextual level is not addressed. Likewise, building a body of executable knowledge about patterns contained in the data is not addressed.


It looks like the wrong claim was inadvertently analyzed. Since the undersigned cannot understand the basis for the rejection, an appropriate response cannot be made. Thus, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct 14, 2008

By:



Lowell Anderson  
Registration No. 30,990  
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER  
75 Enterprise, Suite 250  
Aliso Viejo, California 92656  
Telephone: (949) 855-1246  
Fax: (949) 855-6371

Customer No.: 007663